

In order to integrate the field through the establishment of an international communication network involving anthropologists, filmmakers, and communications specialists, a Commission on Visual Anthropology was formed as part of the International Union of Anthropological and Ethnological Sciences. Since 1989, the commission has sponsored the international journal *Visual Anthropology*. Meanwhile, replacing SAVICOM, the Society for Visual Anthropology (SVA) was founded in 1984. Admitted as a constituent section of the AAA, it launched the journal *Visual Anthropology Review*.

Staking out a very broadly defined terrain where anthropology and visual media overlap and interact, the SVA ties together anthropologists and media makers and supports them in their professional pursuits. A few years ago, in response to concerns raised by anthropological makers of films, videos, photographs, and multimedia, the section established a Committee on Scholarship to formulate guidelines for the evaluation of ethnographic visual media. Written by SVA board members Peter Biella and Jeff

Himpele, with input from Kelly Askew, Louise Lamphere, David MacDougall, Harald Prins, and Jay Ruby, the statement was endorsed by the SVA board and the AAA Section Assembly. At its 100th Annual Meeting in Washington, D.C., November 2001, the AAA Executive Board unanimously approved these visual media guidelines for the profession.

REFERENCES CITED

- Bateson, Gregory, and Margaret Mead.
1942 *Balinese Character: A Photographic Analysis*. New York: New York Academy of Sciences.
- Mead, Margaret
1960 Anthropology among the Sciences. *American Anthropologist* 63(3):475-482.
- 1975 *Visual Anthropology in a Discipline of Words*. In *The Principles of Visual Anthropology*. Paul Hockings, ed. Pp. 3-10. The Hague: Mouton.
- Mead, Margaret, and Rhoda Métraux
1953 *The Study of Culture at a Distance*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

AAA Statement on Ethnographic Visual Media

The following statement was adopted by the AAA Executive Board on November 28, 2001, acting on a draft prepared by the Society for Visual Anthropology. Dedicated to promoting the status of ethnographic visual media in anthropology, this section has been judged by the AAA Governing Board to be best suited to provide guidelines for the evaluation of film and related audio-visual media in the consideration of hiring, promotion, and tenure.

Ethnographic visual media (specifically film, video, photography, and digital multimedia) play a significant role in the production and application of anthropological knowledge and form an integral part of the discipline's course offerings. Anthropologists involved in the production of visual works make valuable scholarly contributions to the discipline. In addition, anthropologists increasingly include visual media productions as part of their curricula vitae. Departmental and university committees for hiring, promotion, and tenure are thus charged with judging the scholarly quality of these nonprint works. Yet not all anthropologists bring appropriate experience or training to their evaluation of visual media, and no standard guidelines exist.

Committees tasked with appraising the significance of visual media as academic contributions to the discipline—to teaching, scholarly research, and applied anthropology—can benefit from evaluative criteria. Accordingly, the American Anthropological Association, under the advisement of the Society for Visual Anthropology,¹ offers these guidelines for the evaluation of ethnographic visual media.

First, the AAA urges committees to evaluate ethnographic visuals as appropriate media for the production and dissemination of anthropological knowledge. Film and video, photography, and digital multimedia play increasing roles in research; they are crucial as teaching tools in the discipline's course offerings, and they are often used in applied contexts. Visual representations offer viewers a means to experience and understand ethnographic complexity, richness, and depth, which are the distinguishing features of anthropological knowledge. Visual media can convey forms of knowledge that writing cannot. Further, the content of ethnographic visual media is necessarily based on research: its effectiveness is honed by familiar research techniques including long-term ethnographic engagements, interviews, and participant-observation. While ethnographic media provide access to visual and acoustic worlds of practice and belief, they also make available opportunities to contemplate and experience the relationship between theory and observations from the field. The impact of theory may be less overt in some visual media than it is in print, but works such as ethnographic films are informed by and provide opportunities for theoretical analysis, interpretation, and understanding. That said, the theorization of social relations and cultural meanings is sometimes provided explicitly in a voice-over by a narrator and often by film subjects themselves. In any case, theorization always informs the production process and frames the making of all ethnographic media. Shot selection and composition, visual montage, image/sound juxtaposition, and narrative sequencing all are designed to present

the author's intellectual interpretation and analysis. Visual media therefore link textual argument and image. They intrinsically align theory and documentation in the tradition of print scholarship.

Second, the AAA urges committees to evaluate the technical and scholarly work entailed in producing ethnographic media. The goals, methodology, field research, design and effectiveness of visual works may be judged by criteria familiar to anthropologists. As with good writing, visual works typically require great effort, involving substantial amounts of intellectual investment and time. They are often based on fieldwork of the same duration and sophistication that are required of print-based ethnographies.

As in print media, so in film, video, photography, and multimedia, much of the groundwork is omitted from the final publication. Committees for hiring, promotion, and tenure should be aware that far more footage is shot than is used and that all footage—used and unused—must laboriously be interpreted and evaluated. Even short visual works represent an enormous amount of labor. For example, independent of preparatory fieldwork, the creation of a film easily consumes 40 hours for every minute of final screen time. Because of the necessity of acquiring funding, in some cases visual works require three to five years to produce. Most visual works are collaborative enterprises and often involve a complex division of labor.

The Society for Visual Anthropology recommends that academic evaluators seeking to determine the scholarly significance of visual works consider whether the relevant product should be categorized as: (1) research footage and documentation that adds to the historical and/or eth-

nographic record, or is used for further analysis (such as linguistics, dance, and art); (2) ethnographic media that contributes to theoretical debate and development; (3) innovations in new media forms; (4) media designed to enhance teaching; (5) media produced for television broadcast and other forms of mass communication; (6) applied media made with and/or for the benefit of a particular community, government, or business.

Also, we recommend that committees invite the assistance of visual media specialists for their evaluations. Anthropologists who are not experts in visual media may fail to recognize components of production and editing that assisting media specialists would immediately appreciate. Candidates should be asked to provide documentation of the extent and scholarly significance of their contributions to visual media works, detailing their specific role(s) as producer, director, photographer, editor, production assistant, academic advisor, or writer. Committees should be aware that the distribution of visual works and their inclusion in media festivals are accepted venues of publication for ethnographic films and videos. Letters from film distributors and statements from film festival juries may assist the evaluation of the scholarly contribution of these works. Reviews in scholarly publications present additional evidence of a project's significance. We also recommend that candidates present external letters of support addressing the wider scholarly significance of their visual media work. Committees should consider these documents as well as the candidate's project proposals and monographs that give strong indications of the scholarship, conceptual argument, and anthropological contribution of visual works.