Evidence for an emotion maintenance deficit in schizophrenia
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A B S T R A C T
Research has indicated that people with schizophrenia have deficits in reward representation and goal-directed behavior, which may be related to the maintenance of emotional experiences. Using a laboratory-based study, we investigated whether people with schizophrenia were able to maintain an emotional experience when given explicit instructions to do so. Twenty-eight people with schizophrenia and 19 people without completed a behavioral task judging their emotional experience of pictures held over a three second delay. This emotion maintenance task was compared to a subsequent in-the-moment emotion experience rating of each picture. In addition, all participants completed an analogous brightness experience maintenance and rating task, and patients completed a standardized visual working memory task. Participants with schizophrenia showed normal in-the-moment emotion experience of the emotion pictures; however, they showed decreased performance on emotion maintenance (for both positive and negative emotion) compared to participants without schizophrenia, even after controlling for brightness maintenance. The emotion maintenance deficit was not associated with visual brightness performance nor with performance on the visual working memory task; however, negative emotion maintenance was associated with an interview-based rating of motivation. These findings suggest that some aspects of impaired emotion maintenance in schizophrenia may be related to deficits in motivated behavior.

© 2011 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

People with schizophrenia report nearly identical positive and negative experiences to emotion-eliciting material as people without schizophrenia (Kring and Moran, 2008; see Cohen and Minor, 2010 for a detailed review and a meta-analysis), even though they show deficits in the experience of pleasure (i.e., anhedonia) and motivation (e.g., Chapman et al., 1976; Berenbaum et al., 1990; Fenton and McGlashan, 1991; Herbener and Harrow, 2002; Barch, 2005; Gard et al., 2009). Recent research has begun to investigate this seeming paradox by examining the temporal components of emotional experience and how this relates to motivational engagement (e.g., Davidson, 1998; Heerey and Gold, 2007). Further, researchers are beginning to more explicitly define the parameters of motivational problems in schizophrenia (Barch and Dowd, 2010; Medalia and Brekke, 2010).

In an ecological momentary assessment study and a self-report study, we showed that people with schizophrenia may have a deficit in the anticipation of pleasurable experiences, but not in the in-the-moment or consummatory experiences of pleasure (Gard et al., 2007). This distinction clarifies that deficits in the experience of pleasure (anhedonia) may be related to the process of motivation, or “looking forward” to rewarding stimuli, as opposed to a deficit of enjoyment per se (but see Tremeau et al., 2010). Consistent with this notion, Juckel et al. (2006) found in a functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study that as people with schizophrenia anticipated reward stimuli, they did not recruit brain regions associated with reward anticipation.

In addition to movement towards desired stimuli (appetitive or approach), motivation also requires movement away from aversive stimuli (avoidance), and there is evidence of impairment in this area as well. For example, in a behavioral study of motivational engagement, Heerey and Gold (2007) asked people with and without schizophrenia to view and rate the emotional experience of affective pictures. Participants were then asked to press a button after the images were removed to indicate a preference to see the images again or to avoid them. In spite of their similar self-report ratings, people with schizophrenia made fewer button presses to both positive and negative images than controls, although the overall number of presses did not differ between groups. These findings also suggest that people with schizophrenia may have difficulty translating their emotional experience to appropriate goal-directed behavior—either towards desired stimuli or away from aversive stimuli.
Taken together, the evidence indicates that people with schizophrenia may have difficulty maintaining an emotional experience over time in order to guide behavior. Two recent studies highlight this possibility, also with both positive and negative images. Using the affective startle modulation, we asked people with and without schizophrenia to view emotion-eliciting pictures, wait during a brief delay, and then rate their emotion experiences of the pictures; startle probes were presented either during the picture presentation or during the delay period (Kring et al., 2011). Participants with schizophrenia reported similar emotional experiences to the pictures as people without schizophrenia; both groups also showed a common startle response during picture viewing: heightened startle while viewing negative pictures and a diminished startle while viewing positive pictures. However, only people without schizophrenia showed this same pattern seconds after the images were removed. In other words, people with schizophrenia appeared to have difficulty maintaining the emotional response generated by the pictures during the delay period. In a similar design using fMRI, Ursu et al. (in press) showed nearly identical brain activation patterns during the viewing of emotion-eliciting pictures for people with and without schizophrenia (amygdala as well as dorsolateral, orbitofrontal and ventromedial prefrontal cortex), but only those without schizophrenia maintained this activation once the images were removed. Because neither of these studies specifically requested that participants maintain their emotional state, it is unclear whether the lowered performance in the individuals with schizophrenia was due to inattention, distraction, or whether they were unaware that they should be maintaining their emotional state.

We sought to extend this research by using a behavioral task that measures an individual’s ability to willfully and specifically maintain an emotional experience over a short delay of 3 s. This task, designed by Mikels et al. (2005, 2008), asks participants to view an emotion-eliciting picture, to hold on to that emotional experience over a short delay, and then to compare that experience to their experience of a subsequent emotion-eliciting picture. Participants later rate their in-the-moment emotion experience to all images (See Fig. 1a and b). This maintained comparison and the subsequent in-the-moment experience rating allows for an evaluation of emotion maintenance accuracy (i.e., if participants rate their experience of picture B as more emotionally intense than their experience of picture A after the delay, but during in-the-moment ratings find their experience of picture A to be more emotionally intense than their experience of picture B, this reflects an inaccuracy of emotional maintenance). Participants also complete an analogous task maintaining and comparing their experience of visual brightness intensity of neutral images, to control for visual working.

---

**Fig. 1.** a) Emotion maintenance and brightness maintenance task. For the emotion maintenance task a positive or negative target picture was viewed for 5 s and the experience of emotional intensity was maintained over a three second delay. A separate probe picture of the same valence image was viewed for 5 s. After the images, participants indicated whether the probe was experienced as more or less emotionally intense than the target. Participants completed an analogous brightness maintenance task which was identical except neutral images were viewed and the experience of brightness intensity was maintained. Figure originally printed in Mikels et al. (2008). b) Emotion and brightness rating task. After both maintenance tasks were completed participants rated their in-the-moment experience of emotion to emotion images on emotion intensity, and their in-the-moment brightness experience to the brightness (neutral) images, all using a visual analog scale. Using the computer mouse, participants clicked along the continuum for their experience rating.
memory abilities. Mikels and colleagues have shown that emotion maintenance and visual brightness maintenance are separable processes. Specifically, in one study, participants did not show impairment in emotion maintenance when concurrently completing verbal and visual working memory tasks during the maintenance period, but did show impairment when given an additional emotion-related task during the emotion maintenance period (Mikels et al., 2008).

Using this experimental task, our hypotheses are as follows: 1) participants with schizophrenia would show a deficit in brightness maintenance relative to those without schizophrenia (due to visual working memory deficits typically seen in schizophrenia (e.g., Tek et al., 2002)); 2) people with schizophrenia will show a deficit in both positive and negative emotion maintenance relative to people without schizophrenia, even when deficits in brightness maintenance are controlled for; and 3) for people with schizophrenia positive and negative emotion maintenance ability will be related to clinician ratings of motivation (i.e., Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) avolition item and Quality of Life Scale (QLS) motivation item).

2. Method

2.1. Participants

Twenty-eight clinically stable outpatients with schizophrenia (N = 18) or schizophrenic disorder (N = 10) and 19 healthy control subjects participated in the study. All schizophrenia participants were recruited from a larger ongoing study of cognitive training in schizophrenia, and were run in the present study either prior to cognitive training (N = 5) or were recruited after participating in the control condition (N = 23). The average time since the completion of the control task condition for these participants was 1.53 years. People with schizophrenia were recruited from psychiatric outpatient services and community mental health clinics in the San Francisco Bay area. Diagnoses were confirmed using the DSM-IV-Clinician Version (SCID: First et al., 1997). People with schizophrenia were also given the PANSS-Extended interview (PANSS: Kay and Sevy, 1990; Poole et al., 2000) and the QLS (QLS: Heinrichs et al., 1984); behavioral measures of motivation used for this study were the PANSS “avolition” rating (defined as “disturbance in the willful initiation, sustenance and control of one’s thoughts, behavior, movements and speech”) and the QLS “motivation item” (defined as “the extent to which the person is able to initiate or sustain goal-directed activity due to adequate drive”). Exclusion criteria included a history of head trauma/loss of consciousness, substance abuse in the last six months, neurological disorders, and non-schizophrenia participants were recruited from a larger ongoing study of cognitive training (Mikels et al., 2008); each maintenance task was counterbalanced by participant so that half of the payments were given for participation in this study. All participants completed the written informed consent for the emotion maintenance and brightness tasks. Nominal

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Schizophrenia Patients (N=28)</th>
<th>Healthy Controls (N=19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male – N</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (S.D.)</td>
<td>44.21 (11.53)</td>
<td>45.16 (10.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education – years (S.D.)</td>
<td>13.50 (1.50)</td>
<td>14.18 (1.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father education – years (S.D.)</td>
<td>13.50 (3.07)</td>
<td>13.72 (2.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment – N:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full time employed – N</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part time employed – N</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed – N</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student – N</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity – N:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian-American</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latine</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis – N:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizoaffective</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorpromazine Eq. (S.D.)</td>
<td>803.91 (957.99)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS – Total, mean rating (S.D.)</td>
<td>2.30 (0.56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS – Avolition, mean rating (S.D.)</td>
<td>2.48 (1.34)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QLS – Motivation, mean rating (S.D.)</td>
<td>3.39 (1.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale. 

b Quality of Life Scale.

2.2. Procedures

After having all experimental procedures explained to them, participants provided written informed consent for the emotion maintenance and brightness tasks. Nominal payoffs were given to participants in this study. All participants completed the emotion and brightness maintenance comparison tasks as described in Mikels et al. (2005); each maintenance task was counterbalanced by participant so that half of the participants started with the emotion maintenance task and half started with brightness maintenance task. The in-the-moment rating tasks were completed after both maintenance tasks were finished and these were also counterbalanced. Forty emotionally evocative image pairs (20 positive pairs, 20 negative pairs) and 40 neutral image pairs (80 neutral images) were chosen from the International Affective Picture System (IAPS) (IAPS: Lang et al., 2005). Emotion-eliciitting pictures depicted a wide range of content (e.g., animal and human attack, pollution, food, action scenes) and neutral images depicted household items, common daily objects, and people. All image pairs were similar in valence and arousal ratings based on normed ratings (Lang et al., 2005).1 The means (and standard deviations) of valence and arousal for all pictures used in the study (from the published norms) are as follows: valence: positive M = 7.14 (0.66); negative M = 3.45 (0.97); neutral M = 5.31 (0.89); and arousal: positive M = 5.20 (1.04); negative M = 5.40 (0.89); neutral M = 3.45 (0.88). Images were presented on a Compaq laptop 12-inch monitor approximately 30-inches from the participant. Stimuli presentation was administered through E-Prime Version 2.0. All images were presented in a random order for each participant (within the emotion or brightness conditions). The neuropsychological battery and diagnostic interview were completed on a separate day.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Emotion intensity maintenance

Each trial began with a positive or negative picture (target) presented for 5 s, followed by a fixation maintenance period of 5 s. Participants were asked to view the target and hold in mind the “emotional intensity” experience of the picture throughout the maintenance period. A second picture of the same valence (probe) was presented for 5 s. Again, participants were asked to experience the emotional intensity of the probe picture. Following the probe picture, a response window was available in which participants were asked to choose whether the experience of the second picture was higher or lower in emotional intensity than the experience of the first picture, and to respond by pressing the corresponding labeled keyboard key (H for higher, L for lower).

The order of the images was presented randomly such that each image could either serve as the target or the probe (i.e., no two participants saw the same images as targets or probes). There was no limit on the amount of time for a response to occur. Immediately following the response, the next trial began (See Fig. 1a).

2.3.2. Brightness intensity maintenance

All participants completed an analogous task with neutral pictures. In this task, participants viewed a neutral target picture for 5 s and held in mind the experience of the brightness intensity of the picture during a three second delay, followed by a neutral probe picture, presented for 5 s. Similar to the emotion intensity maintenance task participants chose whether the second picture was experienced as more or less intense in brightness than the first picture.

2.3.3. Emotion intensity rating

In a separate task, each emotion-eliciitting picture used in the emotion intensity maintenance task was presented in random order to participants. The task was to simply indicate the emotional intensity experienced for each picture. Under each picture there was a continuous visual analog scale that ranged from not intense to extremely intense. Using the computer mouse participants indicated on the continuum how intense their experience was (See Fig. 1b). The computer assigned this mouse click a numerical value based on where the participant clicked on the continuum. For each trial, participants had as much time as needed to respond.

2.3.4. Brightness intensity rating

Participants completed an analogous rating task with the neutral pictures viewed in the brightness maintenance task, rating the experience of brightness intensity of
each picture on a visual analog continuum, ranging from not intense to extremely intense, using the computer mouse.

2.3.5. Neuropsychological measure of visual working memory

The WMS-R Visual Memory Span was used to compare subjects’ performance on brightness maintenance to a standard test of visual working memory.

2.4. Data analytic plan

A maintenance percentage accuracy score was computed for both positive and negative emotion tasks and for the brightness task for all participants. This was calculated for each participant by comparing the response in the maintenance task (H or L) to the response in the rating task (from the continuous rating). An “inaccurate maintenance” on a particular trial occurred if the in-the-moment experience ratings differed from the maintenance comparisons. For example, if the experience of image B was rated higher than the experience of image A, but the continuous in-the-moment experience of image A was higher than B, this was considered an inaccurate maintenance. Note that the computer gave a numerical value to the continuous rating.

In the event that a participant rated their in-the-moment experience of the two images similarly (i.e., clicked on the exact spot on the continuous rating scale), these trials were not included in the analysis. Percentage accuracy for the emotion task was completed separately for positive images and negative images. We also investigated participants’ comparisons to normed experience ratings (e.g., Mikels et al., 2008); and since these findings were nearly identical, we have limited our presentation to accuracy levels only (and not concordance rates) for the sake of brevity.

In order to verify that participants with schizophrenia had the same in-the-moment emotional and brightness experiences to the stimuli as did the comparison subjects, we performed an Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with participant group as the between-subjects factor for ratings of the in-the-moment experience of emotional intensity and of brightness intensity. With the aim of testing the hypothesis that the participants with schizophrenia would show a deficit in emotion maintenance independent of their performance on brightness maintenance, we first performed an ANOVA on brightness maintenance accuracy. Once the expected deficit on brightness maintenance was found (given the known deficits in visual working memory (Tek et al., 2002)), we performed an Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) on positive emotion maintenance accuracy and on negative emotion maintenance accuracy, with the group as the between-subjects factor controlling for accuracy scores on the brightness maintenance task. In order to verify that brightness maintenance assessed (non-emotional) visual working memory, we performed a Pearson’s bivariate correlation (one-tailed) between brightness maintenance and WMS-R Spatial Memory in the schizophrenia participant group. Finally, to determine whether the deficit in emotion maintenance showed an association with behavioral measures of motivation in the schizophrenia participants, we performed a Pearson’s bivariate correlation (one-tailed due to a priori hypotheses) between positive and negative emotion maintenance and QLS “motivation,” controlling for brightness maintenance. As emotion maintenance could potentially differ by gender we included this factor in all analyses. However, no gender differences were found and so this analysis was excluded here for the sake of brevity. Effect sizes are reported as eta squared.

3. Results

3.1. In-the-moment emotion and brightness experience ratings

As expected, participants with schizophrenia did not differ from those without schizophrenia in their in-the-moment experience ratings of emotional intensity for positive images \( F(1, 46) = 0.56, P = 0.46 \) or negative images \( F(1, 46) = 2.07, P = 0.16 \). Participants with schizophrenia rated their experience of the neutral images as more intense, than those without schizophrenia \( F(1, 46) = 5.67, P < 0.05, \eta^2 = 0.11 \) (See Fig. 2).

3.2. Emotion maintenance accuracy

People with schizophrenia were significantly more impaired in their ability to maintain the experience of emotional intensity of the target image over a delay for positive images \( F(1, 46) = 8.22, P < 0.01, \eta^2 = 0.15 \), and for negative images \( F(1, 46) = 7.19, P = 0.01, \eta^2 = 0.14 \). They also showed a significant deficit in brightness maintenance accuracy (i.e., visual working memory) relative to people without schizophrenia \( F(1, 46) = 15.45, P < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.26 \). Neither positive nor negative emotion maintenance accuracy was associated with brightness maintenance accuracy for people with \( r = 0.04, P = 0.42; r = 0.13, P = 0.26 \) or without schizophrenia \( r = 0.16, P = 0.26 \) (See Table 3).

3.3. Relationship of emotion maintenance accuracy to behavioral measures of motivation

Positive emotion, negative emotion and brightness maintenance accuracy were all unrelated to the PANSS symptom rating of avolition \( r = 0.21, P = 0.15 \) and \( r = 0.09, P = 0.34 \), respectively. For the QLS measure of motivation, brightness maintenance was significantly related \( r = 0.39, P < 0.05 \) as was negative emotion maintenance \( r = 0.35, P < 0.05 \), while positive emotion maintenance was unrelated \( r = 0.20, P = 0.17 \). After controlling for brightness maintenance, the association between negative emotion maintenance and QLS motivation remained significant \( r = 0.32, P = 0.05 \). Finally, the QLS...
motivation item was related to WMS-R Visual Memory ($r = 0.61, p < 0.01$). (See Table 2).

4. Discussion

The present study indicates that, when given explicit instructions to maintain an emotional experience, people with schizophrenia have a deficit in this ability relative to healthy control subjects, yet show no difference in the experience of emotion when presented with emotion-eliciting stimuli. Further, this deficit appears to be independent of problems with visual working memory. This is in line with recent studies of emotion maintenance, using the affective startle modulation method and fMRI (Kring et al., 2011; Ursu et al., in press). In addition, we found that impaired performance in the maintenance of negative emotion experience was associated with the QLS rating of motivation, suggesting that this deficit may be related to motivated behavior. Certainly, goal-directed behavior requires one to “hold in mind”, or represent, the desired or to-be-avoided stimulus outside the presence of that stimulus (Burbridge and Barch, 2007; Gold et al., 2008). It is possible that deficits in the ability to engage in motivated behavior seen in people with schizophrenia are due in part to the difficulty in maintaining the representation of an affective or emotional experience. Indeed, much of daily life involves having an emotional experience (positive or negative) and then using this experience to guide movement towards desired goals and away from undesirable outcomes (Carver and Scheier, 1998). The fact that negative emotion maintenance was associated with clinician ratings of motivation highlights the important point that motivation is not solely a movement towards rewards, but also the avoidance of problematic outcomes, something that negative emotion maintenance may help accomplish.

Neither emotion nor brightness maintenance was associated with the PANSS avolition rating, in contrast to their association with QLS motivation. Unlike this latter measure, the PANSS avolition item does not focus on the presence of goal-directed behavior per se, but rather on “control of one’s thoughts, behavior, movements and speech” (Poole et al., 2000). Thus, it may be better described as a measure of willful control of cognitions and actions, rather than a measure of motivational state per se, possibly accounting for its lack of association with emotion and brightness maintenance.

Our findings also suggest that real-world motivated behavior, as assessed via QLS motivation, is associated with intact visual working memory. Specifically, we found that both brightness maintenance and WMS-R Visual Memory were significantly related to the QLS rating of motivation. This provides further evidence that motivational problems are linked to some basic aspects of neurocognitive functioning, particularly working memory (e.g., Nakagami et al., 2008; Gard et al., 2009). Future studies will need to tease apart the relative contributions of emotion maintenance, reward representation, and working memory impairment to problems with motivated behavior.

Interestingly, the emotion and brightness maintenance tasks were unrelated for both people with and without schizophrenia, and although the brightness maintenance task was related to a standard measure of visual working memory, the emotion maintenance task (for both positive and negative emotion) was not. Similar to our findings in schizophrenia in the present study, Mikels et al. (2008) showed that visual working memory and emotion maintenance (i.e., “affective working memory”) are separable processes in healthy individuals. This separation of working memory from emotion maintenance appears to conflict with findings that have linked working memory and emotion impairments in schizophrenia. For example, Burbridge and Barch (2007) found that working memory moderated the relationship between the symptom of anhedonia and self-reported experience of enjoyment of pleasurable stimuli. Likewise, working memory has been shown to be related to problems delaying future rewards (Heeret al., 2007) and in using potential outcomes as a guide on a reward decision making task (Heeret al., 2008). The key difference in the current study is that participants were asked to actively and willfully maintain the representation of emotional intensity – i.e., the emotional experience – rather than to project themselves into the future and imagine the appropriate reward. One possibility is that working memory is helpful for keeping a goal in mind, while emotion maintenance is important for tagging the emotional valence of a goal. This process is similar to what Barch and Dowd (2010) and others have referred to as “working memory for value”.

Although the primary manipulation of the study involves the maintenance of emotional and brightness intensities, we cannot rule out the possibility that the deficits observed here might be due to difficulty in comparing emotional experiences, a fundamental aspect of the task. However, the fact that emotion maintenance differences remained significant after controlling for brightness maintenance implies that whatever comparison differences exist between the groups were not accounted for in any comparison problems that existed in the brightness task. One additional limitation of the present study is that our only measures of motivated behavior were based on clinician ratings (PANSS and QLS). Certainly it is important that motivation be carefully defined from a variety of angles (self-report, observation, behavior) and this definition should map on to clear aspects of known impairment in schizophrenia (Barch, 2005).

Future studies must address whether this laboratory-based emotion maintenance deficit in schizophrenia is related to ecologically meaningful problems with motivation, as measured by self and observer report, and other behavioral assessments and daily life examples. If this proves to be the case, then emotion maintenance may be a useful area to target in order to remediate motivational deficits.
For example, it may be possible to design computerized exercises that train patients to maintain affective responses over increasingly longer periods of time, similar to exercises that are designed to improve verbal working memory in schizophrenia (Fisher et al., 2009, 2010). Recent work in our laboratory has shown that the emotion maintenance measure used in the present study is reliable over a one week period (Broome et al., under review), and therefore may be useful in measuring change in response to therapeutic interventions.
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